Predicting the 2016 NCAA Men's Basketball Tournament

Tyler Olson Tom Tran Alex Zajichek CS: 4980 Spring 2016

May 5, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Table of contents

Introduction

The Problem Kaggle Competition The Data

Related Work

Our Approach

Preliminary Variable Selection Models Considered Methods of Evaluation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Results

The Problem



NCAA is a registered trademark of the National Collegiate Athletic Association

March Machine Learning Mania 2016

- 1. Use provided data and other sources to create a predictive model
- 2. Estimate the probability that team i beats opponent j for all 2278 combinations of the 68 tournament teams in 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

3. Get scored based on the actual results of the tournament

The Data

- Subset of the original data
 - 2003/2004 season through 2015/2016 season

Separate regular season and tournament data

- Traditional basketball statistics
 - Field goals made, field goals attempted, free throws made, free throws attempted, rebounds, etc.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Related Work

Purpose of Prediction

Betting odds, selection, performance, outcomes

- Data selection
 - Quality v.s. quantity, correlated statistics, regularization, contaminated data

- Model development and evaluation
 - Primarily supervised learning methods
 - Classification accuracy, predictive binomial deviance, AUC

- For each tournament matchup, model the outcome of the game with the two teams' regular season information
- Two considerations for response:
 - 1. Win/Loss (1 or 0)
 - 2. Point Differential (team i's score opponent j's score)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Preliminary Variable Selection

Variable	Team <i>i</i> Opponent <i>j</i>		
Seed	w ₁	W16	
Pythagorean Expectation	<i>W</i> ₂	W9	
Effective Field Goal %	W3	W10	
Points per Possesion	W4	<i>w</i> ₁₁	
Economy	W ₅	<i>w</i> ₁₂	
Free Throw %	W ₆	W ₁₃	
Rating Percentage Index	W ₇	W ₁₄	
Win %	W8	W ₁₅	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Models Considered

- 1. Bayesian Linear Regression (BLR)
- 2. Logistic Regression (LR)
- 3. Bootstrap Linear Regression (BLS)
- 4. Random Forest (RF)
- 5. Generalized Boosted Regression (GBM)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

6. Neural Network (NNET)

Notation

Let

$$Y_{W_{ijk}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if team } i \text{ beats opponent } j \\ 0 & \text{if opponent } j \text{ beats team } i \end{cases}$$

 $\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}} = P(\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}} = 1) =$ predicted probability that team *i* beats opponent *j*

$$\mathbf{w}^{T} = (w_0, w_1, ..., w_{16}) \leftarrow \text{model parameters}$$

 $\mathbf{x}_{ijk} = k^{th}$ example of team i playing against opponent j

 $Y_{PD_{iik}} =$ (team *i*'s score - opponent *j*'s score) is called the *point differential*.

Bayesian Linear Regression

Prior Distributions [Cowles 2013]

 $w_m \sim Normal(0, 10^6) \leftarrow Uninformative Prior$

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\mathsf{PD}_{ijk}} | \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_{ijk} \sim \mathit{Normal}(\mu_{\mathbf{Y}_{\mathsf{PD}_{ijk}}} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{ijk}, \sigma^2_{\mathbf{Y}_{\mathsf{PD}_{ijk}}})$$

Predictive Distribution

The distribution for a new prediction was then obtained via R20penBUGS [Sturtz 2005].

$$f(\widehat{Y_{PD_{ijk}}}|\mathbf{x_{ijk}}) = \int_{\mathbf{w}} f(\widehat{Y_{PD_{ijk}}}|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x_{ijk}}) f(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{Y_{PD}}) d\mathbf{w}$$
$$P(\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}} = 1) = P(\widehat{Y_{PD_{ijk}}} > 0|\mathbf{x_{ijk}})$$

Logistic Regression

Let

$$Y_{W_{ijk}} = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 1 & ext{ if team i beats opponent j} \\ 0 & ext{ if opponent j beats team i} \end{array}
ight.$$

Then,

$$P(Y_{\mathcal{W}_{ijk}}=1)=rac{1}{1+e^{-\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x}_{ijk}}}$$

Methodology :

- 1. Model all possible subsets of predictors
- 2. Choose model with *lowest* AIC (Akaike's Information Criterion) [Ledolter 2006]
- 3. Estimate the probability of team i beating opponent j

$$\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}} = P(\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}} = 1)$$

Bootstrap Least-Squares Regression

Let

$$Y_{PD_{ijk}} =$$
 team i's score - opponent j's score

Then

$$Y_{PD_{ijk}} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{ijk} = w_0 + w_1 x_{ijk1} + \dots + w_{16} x_{ijk16} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Methodology :

- 1. Find LS estimates for each of 100,000 bootstrap samples
- 2. Average LS estimates over all bootstrap models
- 3. Convert predicted point differentials to probabilities via the sigmoid function [Turner 2015]:

$$\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}} = P(\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}} = 1) = rac{1}{1 + e^{-\widehat{Y_{PD_{ijk}}}}}$$

Bootstrap Least-Squares Regression



Point Differentials to Probabilities

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Random Forest

Let

Methodology :

- ensemble technique, refinement of bagged trees
- at each tree split, a random sample of m features is drawn and considered for splitting

• $m = \sqrt{p}$ where p is the number of features

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Predicted class probability} = \mbox{mean predicted class probabilities of} \\ \mbox{the trees or by votes} \\ \mbox{[Breiman 2001]} \end{array}$

Generalized Boosted Regression

Let

$$Y_{W_{ijk}} = \begin{cases} 1 & ext{if team i beats opponent j} \\ 0 & ext{if opponent j beats team i} \end{cases}$$
 $P(Y_{W_{ijk}} = 1) = rac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}_{ijk}}}$

Methodology :

- ensemble of weak prediction models
- gradient descent algorithm
- ► at each stage 1 < m < M, improve F_m(x) by fitting h(x) to the residual y - F_m(x)

▶ add h(x) to the current model: $F_{m+1}(x) = F_m(x) + h(x)$ [Ridgeway 2007]

Neural Networks



$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Y}_{W_{ijk}}=1) = rac{1}{1+e^{-\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{X}_{ijk}}}}}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Methodology :

- stochastic gradient descent
- back propagation
- one hidden layer

[Yang 2016]

Methods of Evaluation

1. Predictive Binomial Deviance [Kaggle 2016]

$$PBD = rac{-1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Y_{W_{ijk}}log(\widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}}) + (1 - Y_{W_{ijk}})log(1 - \widehat{Y_{W_{ijk}}})$$

*Scoring measure used in Kaggle competition

- 2. Percent of correct picks by match-up
- 3. ESPN Bracket Scoring [ESPN 2016]

Round	1	2	3	4	5	6
Points per pick	10	20	40	80	160	320

*13.02 million brackets submitted this year

Results

<u>Scores</u>

	BLR	LR	BLS	RF	GBM	NNET
PBD	1.682	.5613	.6084	.5873	.6770	.5696
Matchup %	65.08	71.43	71.43	74.60	69.84	73.02
ESPN	360	870	1380	1140	590	770

Percentiles

	BLR	LR	BLS	RF	GBM	NNET
PBD	1.4	80.2	44.1	57.7	30.8	74.3
ESPN	3.5	84.5	99.6	98.1	32.0	68.3

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

*MCMC did not converge

ROC curves and AUC



ROC Curves

False Positive %

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへ⊙

Citations

[Breiman 2001] Leo Breiman, "Random Forests", University of California-Berkeley, 2001

[Cowles 2013] Mary Kathryn Cowles, *Applied Bayesian Statistics: With R and OpenBUGS Examples*, Springer Texts in Statistics, 2013.

[ESPN 2016] "ESPN Tournament Challenge", NCAA Tournament Challenge Bracket, ESPN, 2016.

[Kaggle 2016] "March Machine Learning Mania 2016.", *Evaluation*, Kaggle, 11 February 2016.

[Ledolter 2006]B Abraham and J Ledolter, "Introduction to Regression Modeling", Duxbury Press, 2006.

[Ridgeway 2007] Greg Ridgeway, "Generalized Boosted Models: A guide to the 'gbm' package", 2007.

[Sturtz 2005] Sturtz, S., Ligges, U., and Gelman, A. R2WinBUGS: A Package for Running WinBUGS from R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 12, 1-16, 2005.

[Turner 2015] Scott Turner, "Net Prophet", *Kaggle Competition: From Point Spreads to Win Percentage*, 20 February 2015.

[Yang 2016] Tianbao Yang, Neural Networks [Powerpoint slides]. Spring 2016.